### GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION PRELIMINARY REPORT PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 159, CONFEDERATION STREET TOWN OF HALTON HILLS

**Prepared for** 

WESTON CONSULTING

Prepared by

SIRATI & PARTNERS CONSULTANTS LIMITED



Geotechnical Hydrogeological & Environmental Solutions

4-160 Konrad Crescent, Markham Ontario L3R 9T9 Tel: 905.940.1582 Fax: 905.940.2440

Project: SP23-01265-00 December 22, 2023

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2.	SITE	E DESCRIPTION AND LOCAL GEOLOGY	2
3.	INV	ESTIGATION PROCEDURE	2
4.	SITE	E AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	3
	4.1	SOIL CONDITIONS	4
	4.2	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	5
5.	GEC	TECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS	5
	5.1	SHALLOW FOUNDATION CONDITIONS	6
	5.2	FLOOR SLAB AND PERMANENT DRAINAGE	7
	5.3	ROADS	8
		5.3.1 STRIPPING, SUB-EXCAVATION AND GRADING	8
		5.3.2 CONSTRUCTION	9
		5.3.3 DRAINAGE	9
	5.4	SITE GRADING ANG ENGINEERING FILL	9
	5.5	FROST PTOTECTION	
	5.6	EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL	
	5.7	EARTH PRESSURES	11
	5.8	SEISMIC SITE CLASSIFICATION	12
6.	GEN	ERAL COMMENTS ON REPORT	13
Drawing	gs/Encl	osures	No.
Notes of	n Sampl	le Descriptions	<b>1A</b>
Borehol	e Locat	ion Plan	1
Borehol	le Logs		2-5
Geotech	nical L	aboratory Results	6
Earth P	ressure	on Braced Cuts	7
Excavat	ion Ris	k Zones	8
Drainag	ge and E	Backfill Recommendations	9-10

Guidelines for Underpinning in Soil and Excavation Support 11

**Appendix A: Guidelines For Engineered Fill** 

**Appendix B: Limitations of the Report** 

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited (SIRATI) was retained by Weston Consulting on behalf of Eden Oak (the Client), to undertake a preliminary geotechnical investigation for the proposed development (Figure 1) located at 159 Confederation Street in the Town of Halton Hills, Ontario.





As per information provided by the Client, it is understood that the site will be developed into a series of thirteen (13) townhouse blocks and two (2) semi-detached dwellings for the subject lands addressed at 159 Confederation Street. As such, in support of the detailed design requirements, SIRATI was provided the following services:

- 1. Geotechnical investigation
- 2. Hydrogeological impact assessment
- 3. Environmental site assessment

This report deals with only the geotechnical aspects of the project. Report pertaining to hydrogeological and environmental conditions is provided under separate covers.

The following references were reviewed and utilized in preparation of the current study:

[**Ref. 1**]: J. D. BARNES Limited. Land Information Specialists, Plan of Survey of Part of Lot 26 Registrar's Complied Plan No.1555, Town of Halton Hills, Ontario, Ref. No. 14-30-651-00-A, Dated October 31, 2023.

[**Ref. 2**]: Concept Plan, Bayfield Georgetown, Town of Halton Hills, Ontario, Project. No. 23020, Dated September 27, 2023.

The purpose of the geotechnical investigation was to obtain information on the general subsurface soil and groundwater conditions at the site by means of borehole drilling, geotechnical laboratory test, and to provide recommendations for the foundations, excavation, backfilling, services and the roads within the property boundaries.

This report is provided based on the terms of reference indicated above and on the assumption that the design will be in accordance with the applicable codes and standards. If there are any changes in the design features relevant to the current geotechnical analyses this office should be contacted to review the design. It may then be necessary to carry out additional borings and reporting before the recommendations of this office can be affirmed.

The site investigation and recommendations follow generally accepted practice for geotechnical consultants in Ontario. The format and contents are guided by client specific needs and economics and conform to accepted industry practice. Laboratory testing for most part follows ASTM or CSA Standards or modifications of these standards that have become standard practice.

This report has been prepared for the Client and their designers. Third party use of this report without SIRATI consent is prohibited. The limitation conditions presented in **Appendix B** form an integral part of this report and they are to be considered in conjunction with this report.

### 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND LOCAL GEOLOGY

The subject site located at 159 Confederation Street, town of Halton Hills, Ontario. The subject site is bounded by the river beside the Main St to the north, by the Bennett PI to the east, by the Confederation St to the south and Bishop Ct to the west. According to surveyed borehole locations and [Ref.1], the site is characterized by a combination of dense tree cover, open grass areas, and slopes with some flat terrain.

Based on the Map of Quaternary Geology of Ontario prepared by the Ontario Department of Northern Development and Mines and database maintained by the Ontario Geological Survey, the Site is located at glaciofluvial deposit composed of gravel and sand; includes proglacial river and deltaic deposits.

### **3. INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE**

A total of four (4) boreholes, BH/MW-01 through BH/MW-04 (per Figure 1) were identified by the Client and drilled by SIRATI during the period of December 01 and 04, 2023. Boreholes advanced to depths ranging between 6.2 m and 10.8 m below existing ground surface (mbgs). The number, location and depth of the boreholes were communicated and approved by the Client.

Since the number of boreholes and their locations were determined prior to the development of the subdivision layout, additional confirmatory borehole investigation may be required at the footprints of the buildings.

The boreholes were drilled with solid stem continuous flight auger equipment (BH/MW-01 through BH/MW-04) by a drilling subcontractor under the direction and fulltime supervision of SIRATI personnel. Samples were retrieved at regular intervals with a 50 mm O.D. split-barrel sampler driven with a hammer weighing 624 N and dropped 760 mm in accordance with the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) method. Soil samples were logged by field technicians and returned to SIRATI laboratory for detailed examination by the project engineer and for laboratory testing.

Allowance was made by the Client for installation of four (4) monitoring wells for groundwater monitoring purposes once groundwater conditions stabilized. The monitoring wells were constructed using a 50 mm diameter Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe. The monitoring wells were constructed in accordance with O. Reg. 903 (as amended) by extending a bentonite seal and/or grout from above the well screen to the surface.

All soil samples were tested for moisture content and selected soil samples were tested for grain size analysis, hydrometer and Atterberg Limits tests.

The as drilled borehole/monitoring well locations and ground surface elevations were specified by SIRATI and confirmed by the Client. The borehole/monitoring well locations, ground surface elevation, termination depths and elevations are presented on the borehole records. The following table summarizes the borehole/monitoring well information:

<b>Borehole/Monitoring</b>	<b>Ground Elevation</b>	Bottom o	f Borehole/Monitoring Well
Well ID	(m ASL*)	(mbgs**)	(m ASL*)
BH/MW-01	254.2	6.4	247.8
BH/MW-02	257.0	9.9	247.1
BH/MW-03	253.3	6.2	247.1
BH/MW-04	249.1	10.8	238.3

 Table 1: Borehole/Monitoring Wells Summary

\* m ASL = meter(s) above sea level

\*\*mbgs = meter(s) below ground surface

### 4. SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The borehole/monitoring well location plan is shown on Figure 1. Notes on soil descriptions are presented on Enclosure 1A. The subsurface conditions in the boreholes are presented in the individual borehole logs (Encl. 2 to 5).

The subsurface conditions in the boreholes are summarized in the following paragraphs.

The following presents the soil stratigraphy based on the observations of the boreholes drilled by SIRATI.

### 4.1 SOIL CONDITIONS

**Topsoil:** A surficial layer of topsoil was encountered at the location of boreholes BH/MW-01 and BH-04 with thickness ranging between 150 mm to 200 mm.

It should be noted that the thickness of the topsoil explored at the borehole location may not be representative for the entire site and should not be relied on to calculate the amount of topsoil to be stripped at the site.

### Fill Material:

A layer of fill material was found in all boreholes BH/MW-01 thorough BH/MW-04 beneath the topsoil layer or on the surface extended to depths ranging between 0.8 m and 1 m below the existing ground surface. This layer is generally brown in color and is consisted of gravelly sand, silty sand with different proportions, occasional trace cobbles, trace organics, occasional trace rootlets, occasional trace wood fragments.

The moisture content in fill layer was found to range from 5.0% to 24.0% indicating relatively moist to very moist conditions.

The measured SPT 'N' values in the fill layer ranged from 4 to 9 blows per 300 mm penetration, indicating a loose material.

<u>Cohesionless Soil Deposits</u>: Native cohesionless soil deposits were observed in all boreholes, underlying topsoil layer or fill flayer. This layer is generally reddish brown to brown and brown to grey in color and is comprised of sand and gravel, silty sand, sandy silt with different proportions, occasional trace cobbles, trace to some clay. The cohesionless soil stratum extended to depths ranging between 6.2 m and 10.8 m below the existing ground surface.

The moisture content in cohesionless soil deposit was found to range from 4.0% to 21.0% indicating moist to very moist conditions.

The measured SPT 'N' values in the cohesionless soil deposit ranged from 15 to more than 50 blows per 300 mm penetration, indicating a compact to very dense material.

Grain size and hydrometer analyses on five (5) representative soil samples of cohesionless soil deposit (BH/MW-01/SS2, BH/MW-02/SS6, BH/MW-02/SS10, BH/MW-03/SS4, BH/MW-04/SS5) were conducted and the results are presented in Figure 6 with the following fractions:

Clay: 3% to 8% Silt: 18% to 52% Sand: 33% to 59% Gravel: 3% to 39%

Atterberg limits testing was completed on the soil sample BH/MW-04/SS5 that was non-plastic.

### 4.2 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

During and upon completion of drilling, the short term unstabilized groundwater level was observed in all boreholes/monitoring wells with the exception of BH/MW-01 that was dry.

The stabilized groundwater levels will be monitored at bi-weekly intervals on six occasions. The first reading was taken on December 12, 2023 in boreholes/monitoring wells BH/MW 23-01 through BH/MW-04 at depth ranging between 2.44 m to 8.32 mbgs, corresponding to elevations ranging between 240.78 m ASL to 252.57 m ASL. Table 2 provides a summary of all readings.

It should be noted that the groundwater levels can vary and are subject to seasonal fluctuations in response to weather events.

Recommendations in this section must be read in conjunction with the hydrogeology study. The hydrogeological study should be relied upon for accurate assessment of the groundwater conditions prevailing at the subject site.

Monitoring Well ID	Date of Drilling	Date of Observation	Depth of Groundwater below Existing Ground (m)	Elevation of Groundwater (m)
BH/MW-01	Dec 1, 2023	Dec 12, 2023	6.07	248.13
BH/MW-02	Dec 4, 2023	Dec 12, 2023	4.43	252.57
BH/MW-03	Dec 4, 2023	Dec 12, 2023	2.44	250.86
BH/MW-04	Dec 1, 2023	Dec 12, 2023	8.32	240.78

### Table 2: Groundwater Levels Observed in Monitoring Wells

### 5. GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS

It is understood that the proposed redevelopment at Site (addressed at addressed at 159 Confederation Street, in the Town of Halton Hills) will consist of thirteen (13) townhouse blocks and two (2) semidetached dwellings.

The geotechnical recommendations made herein this report are based on the findings from the subsurface information at four (4) borehole locations, namely BH/MW-01 through BH/MW-04. The following recommendations should be considered as preliminary and will need to be re-assessed by SIRATI once the drawings regarding architectural, structural design and services are finalized and made available to SIRATI. Additional confirmatory geotechnical investigation is required to confirm the

findings in this report and the subsurface conditions prevailing at the footprints of the proposed buildings.

Where comments are made on construction, they are provided to highlight those aspects which could affect the design of the project and for which special provisions may be required during construction. Those requiring information on aspects of construction should make their own interpretation of the factual information, provided such interpretation may affect selections, proposed construction methods, scheduling and the like.

### 5.1 SHALLOW FOUNDATION CONDITIONS

The borehole information revealed the subject Site is generally covered with topsoil/fill material (possible fill material) to a maximum depth of 1.0 mbgl, below which lies the native soil of dense to very dense sand and gravel proved to a maximum depth of 2.3 mbgl. Below the dense to very dense sand and gravel is the native silty sand Till/sand and silt Till, which extended to the base of the boreholes. At BH/MW 04 location, however, the sand and gravel are replaced by dense to very dense sandy silt.

The groundwater level varies from 2.44 mbgl (BH/MW 03) to 8.32 mbgl (BH/MW 04).

Based on above and provided that the shallow foundation soil is undisturbed during the construction, in general, allowable soil bearing values of 120 kPa at Serviceability Limit State (SLS) and 180 kPa at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) are feasible in the undisturbed inorganic natural sand and gravel and sandy silt soils, at or below the depths provided in Table 3.

BH No.	Material	Bearing Capacity at SLS (kPa)	Factored Geotechnical Resistance at ULS (kPa)	Minimum Depth Below Existing Ground (m)	Founding Level at or Below Elevation (m)			
BH/MW-01	Sand and Gravel	120	180	1.5	252.7			
BH/MW-02	Sand and Gravel	120	180	1.5	255.5			
BH/MW-03	Sand and Gravel	120	180	1.5	251.8			
BH/MW-04	Sandy silt	120	180	1.5	247.6			

**Table 3: Bearing Values and Founding Levels of Spread Footings** 

Given the subsurface groundwater conditions and the depth to founding stratum, groundwater is not anticipated to be encountered during construction and as such no dewatering is considered necessary. However, water ingress and seepages should be anticipated since relatively shallow groundwater was reported at parts of the site, in which case conventional sump pump is considered adequate for dewatering purposes. Should groundwater be encountered, then the groundwater table must be lowered to at least 1 m below the lowest founding level prior to bulk excavation.

Where the grade needs to be raised, the proposed structures can be supported by spread and strip footings founded on engineered fill for an allowable bearing pressure of 150 kPa. The engineered fill supporting footings should be constructed in accordance with the guidelines presented in Appendix A. Other requirements of engineered fill are given in Section 5.4.

Given the generally heterogenous nature of engineered fill, pockets of loose material may exist. All footings shall be inspected by SIRATI prior to pouring concrete.

Footings and foundation walls supported by the engineered fill should be adequately reinforced to tolerate potential differential settlements.

The bearing value would be suitable for the use of normal spread footings to support the proposed development. The foundations designed to the specified allowable bearing capacity at the serviceability limit state (SLS) are expected to settle less than 25 mm total and 19 mm differential.

All footing bases must be inspected by qualified geotechnical engineer prior to pouring concrete. The excavated foundation bases can be covered with 50 mm thick lean concrete slab immediately after inspection and cleaning, in order to avoid disturbance of the founding soil due to construction activity.

Where it is necessary to place footings at different levels, the upper footing must be founded below an imaginary 10 horizontal to 7 vertical line drawn up from the base of the lower footing. The lower footing must be installed first to help minimize the risk of undermining the upper footing.

### 5.2 FLOOR SLAB AND PERMANENT DRAINAGE

All footings must have at least 1.2 m of frost cover.

The floor slab for the proposed elements can be supported on grade provided any disturbed native soils are removed and the base is thoroughly proof rolled. Any backfill required to raise the grade can consist of inorganic soil, placed in shallow lifts (less than 300 mm thick prior to compaction) and compacted to 98 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD).

A moisture barrier consisting of at least 200 mm of 19 mm clear crushed stone should be installed beneath the floor slab.

Where the exposed subgrade consists of cohesive soils below the groundwater table, all openings including the subgrade must be covered or wrapped with filter fabric, typically a Class II non-woven geotextile with a filtration opening size (F.O.S.) of 50 to 100  $\mu$ m.

The completed excavations for floor slabs should not be left open before pouring concrete for any period longer than 24 hours, in particular if the floor construction works are being completed during the winter months or wet weather periods. The base of any floor slab excavation that is left exposed longer than 24 hours should be suitably covered and protected from water ponding, and/or protected to prevent degradation of the exposed founding stratum. This can be accomplished with construction of a mud slab.

### 5.3 ROADS

The investigation has shown that the predominant subgrade soil at the site, after stripping the topsoil and any other organic and otherwise unsuitable material will mainly consist of fill material extending 1.0 m depth.

Based on the above and the Town of Halton Hills Design Criteria Manual for Engineering Plans, the following minimum pavement thickness is recommended for light duty traffic:

### Light Duty Pavement Structure:

40 mm compacted depth of HL3 Asphaltic Concrete 50 mm compacted depth of HL8 Asphaltic Concrete 150 mm compacted depth of Granular 'A' 300 mm compacted depth of Granular 'B' (Type 2)

The pavement structure recommended above assumes that the subgrade has sufficient bearing capacity to accommodate the applied pavement structure from local traffic. The site subgrade and weather conditions (i.e. if wet) at the time of construction may necessitate the placement of thicker granular sub-base layer in order to facilitate the construction. Furthermore, heavy construction equipment may have to be kept off the newly constructed roads before the placement of asphalt and/or immediately thereafter to avoid damaging the weak subgrade by heavy truck traffic.

### 5.3.1 STRIPPING, SUB-EXCAVATION AND GRADING

The site should be stripped of all topsoil and any organic or otherwise unsuitable fills to the full depth of the roads, in both cut and fill areas.

Following stripping, the site should be graded to the subgrade level and approved. The subgrade should then be proof-rolled using several passes of a heavy compactor having a rated capacity of at least 10 tonnes in the presence of the project geotechnical engineer. Any exposed soft spots should be removed and replaced by select fill material, similar to the existing subgrade soil and approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. The subgrade should then be recompacted from the surface to at least 98% of its Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). The final subgrade should be cambered or otherwise shaped properly to facilitate drainage and to prevent the formation of local depressions in which water could accumulate.

Proper cambering and allowing the water to escape towards the roadsides is considered beneficial. Otherwise any water collected in the granular sub-base materials could be trapped thus causing problems due to softened subgrade, differential frost heave, etc. For the same reason damaging the subgrade during and after placement of the granular materials by heavy construction traffic should be avoided. If the moisture content of the local material cannot be maintained at  $\pm 2\%$  of the optimum moisture content, imported granular material must be used.

Any fill required for grading the site or backfill should be select, clean material, free of topsoil, organic or other foreign and unsuitable matter. The fill should be placed in thin layers and compacted to at least 95% of its SPMDD. The degree of compaction should be increased to 98% within the top 1.0 m of the subgrade, as per Town Standards. The compaction of the new fill should be verified by frequent field density testing.

### 5.3.2 CONSTRUCTION

Once the subgrade has been inspected and approved, the granular base and sub-base course materials should be placed in layers not exceeding 200 mm of uncompacted thickness and should be compacted to at least 100% of their respective SPMDD. The grading of the material should conform to current OPS Specifications.

The placing, spreading and rolling of the asphalt should be in accordance with OPS Specifications or as required by the local authorities.

Frequent field density testing should be carried out on both the asphalt and granular base and sub-base materials to ensure that the required degree of compaction is achieved.

### 5.3.3 DRAINAGE

The subdrains should be properly filtered to prevent clogging or the loss of soil fines.

All paved surfaces should be sloped to provide satisfactory drainage towards catch basins. As discussed in Section 5.3.1 any water trapped in the granular sub-base materials should be drained towards subdrains or other interceptors.

### 5.4 SITE GRADING AND ENGINEERED FILL

In the areas where earth fill is required for site grading purposes, an engineered fill may be constructed below foundations, roads, boulevards, etc.

Prior to the construction of an engineered fill, all topsoil, fill material, weak weathered/ disturbed or deleterious must be removed in this area. After the removal of all unsuitable materials, the excavation base consisting of native soil deposits must be inspected and approved by a qualified geotechnical engineer prior to any placement of engineered fill. The base of the excavation should be compacted and proof rolled with heavy compactors (minimum 10,000 kg). During proof rolling, spongy, wet or soft/loose spots should be sub-excavated to stable subgrade and replaced with approved soil, compatible with subgrade conditions, as directed by the geotechnical engineer.

The material for engineered fill should consist of approved inorganic soil, compacted to 100 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). Recommendations regarding engineered fill placement are provided in **Appendix A** of this report.

To reduce the risk of improperly placed engineered compacted fill, full-time supervision of the contractor is essential by SIRATI to certify the engineered fill.

Depending upon the amount of grading work required, there will be consolidation settlement of the underlying soils. Additionally, there will be settlement of the engineered fill under its own weight, approximately 0.5% of the fill height. A waiting period of 3 to 6 months may be required prior to the construction of any structures on engineered fill. This should be confirmed during the detail design stage, once the grading plans for the proposed development are available.

### 5.5 FROST PROTECTION

The floor slab should be structurally independent of any load bearing structural elements and should tolerate expected foundation settlements as indicated above.

All footings exposed to seasonal freezing conditions must have at least 1.2 m of soil cover for frost protection.

It is also emphasized that underfloor drainage and/or an adequate free draining granular base is required to minimize the risk of floor dampness.

### 5.6 EXCAVATIONS AND BACKFILL

The groundwater table observed in the monitoring wells installed in the cohesionless soils was at depths ranging between 2.44 m and 8.32 m below existing grade corresponding to elevation of 240.78 m ASL and 252.57 m ASL. Given the subsurface groundwater conditions and the depth to founding stratum, groundwater is not anticipated to be encountered during construction and as such no dewatering is considered necessary. However, water ingress and seepages should be anticipated since relatively shallow groundwater was reported at parts of the site, in which case conventional sump pump is considered adequate for dewatering purposes. The groundwater table must be lowered to at least 1m below the lowest excavation level, prior to bulk excavation.

All excavations must be carried out in accordance with the most recent Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA). In accordance with OHSA fill material can be classified as Type 3 soil above the groundwater table. Compact to very dense cohesionless soils can be classified as Type 2 Soil above the groundwater table and as Type 3 Soil when below the groundwater table.

The existing fill in the boreholes is generally not suitable for re-use as backfill. The native soils free from topsoil and organics can be used as general construction backfill, provided their moisture content is within 2 percent of optimum moisture content. Soils which are to be compacted should not exceed 200 mm layer thickness. Depending on the time of construction and weather conditions, some

excavated material may be too wet to compact and will require aeration prior to its use. Significant drying of the wet sandy soils will be required prior to their use as the backfill material.

Excavated soils are not considered to be free draining. Where free draining backfill is required, imported granular fill such as OPSS Granular B should be used.

### 5.7 EARTH PRESSURES

It should be noted that the excavated soils are subject to moisture content increase during wet weather which would make these materials too wet for adequate compaction. Stockpiles should be compacted at the surface or be covered with tarpaulins to minimize moisture uptake.

The lateral earth and water pressure acting at any depth on the basement walls can be calculated by the following formula:

In soils above the groundwater table ( $z < d_w$ ):

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{K} \left( \gamma \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{q} \right)$$

In soils below the groundwater table ( $z \ge d_w$ ):

$$p = K \left\{ \gamma d_w + \gamma_1 \left( z - d_w \right) + q \right\} + p_w$$

In which, 
$$p_w = \gamma_w (z - d_w)$$

lateral earth and water pressure in kPa acting at a depth of z below ground surface where p = Κ = earth pressure coefficient unit weight of soil above groundwater table = γ submerged unit weight of soil below groundwater table = γ1 unit weight of water, assuming  $\gamma_w = 9.8 \text{ kN/m}^3$ =  $\gamma_{\rm w}$ depth below ground surface to point of interest, in metres Z = depth of groundwater table below ground surface, in metres  $d_w$ = value of surcharge in kPa = q hydrostatic water pressure in kPa =  $p_{w}$ 

When the basement wall is poured against the shoring caisson wall, the basement wall as well as the shoring caisson wall should be designed for hydrostatic pressure, even though a drainage board is provided between the basement wall and the caisson wall. For the design of the basement walls and shoring caisson wall, reference should be made to the variable groundwater elevations as indicated in Table 2.

The lateral earth pressure parameters are estimated and presented in **Table 4**:

Parameter	Sand and Gravel, Sand and Silt, Silty sand and Sandy silt
Unit Weight, $\gamma$ , kN/m <sup>3</sup>	21
Submerged Unit Weight, $\gamma_l$ , kN/m <sup>3</sup>	11.2
Friction Angle, $\phi$ , degrees (for undrained conditions of temporary shoring system)	30
Undrained Shear Strength, Su, kPa	0
Active Earth Pressure Coefficient, K <sub>a</sub>	0.33
Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient, $K_p$	3.0
Earth Pressure at rest Coefficient, $K_0$	0.50
Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, K <sub>s</sub> , MN/m <sup>3</sup>	5-10

### Table 4: Lateral Earth Pressure Parameters

### 5.8 SEISMIC SITE CLASSIFICATION

Based on the borehole information and according to Table 4.1.8.4.A of OBC 2012, the subject site for the proposed development can be classified as "Class D" for seismic site response.

#### 6. GENERAL COMMENTS ON REPORT

Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited (SIRATI) should be retained for a general review of the final design and specifications to verify that this report has been properly interpreted and implemented. If not accorded the privilege of making this review, SIRATI will assume no responsibility for interpretation of the recommendations in the report.

The proposed construction works as part of the proposed installation may cause ground movement and/or direct transmission of ground vibrations to adjoining structures. Considerations should be given to preparation of a preconstruction condition survey of the existing structures along with movement monitoring of existing structures.

The comments given in this report are intended only for the guidance of design engineers. Contractors bidding on or undertaking the works could conduct their own investigations and rely upon their own interpretations of the factual borehole results, so that they may draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect them during the construction process.

Limitation and conditions are presented in **Appendix B** and form an integral part of this report and must be considered in conjunction when reading this report.

We trust that the information contained in this report is satisfactory. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

### Yours truly, SIRATI & PARTNERS CONSULTANTS LIMITED

Javad Sadr, M.Sc., E.I.T Geotechnical Engineer in Training

A. Siraty

Archie Sirati, Ph.D., P.Eng. Principal Geotechnical Engineer

# **Drawings/Enclosures**



# & PARTNERS SIRA

160 Konrad Crescent Markham, ON. L3R 9T9 Phone# 905 940 1582, Fax# 905 940 2440



Legend:



Borehole/ Monitoring well

### Project Title:

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation

### Site Location:

159 Confederation Street, Halton Hills, Ontario

### Figure Title:

Borehole/ Monitoring well Location Plan

Scale: As Shown

Date:

Figure Number:

SP23-01265-00

Project Number:

December, 2023

1

SILT (NONPLASTIC)

GRAVEL

# **Enclosure 1A: Notes on Sample Descriptions**

1. All sample descriptions included in this report follow the Canadian Foundations Engineering Manual soil classification system. This system follows the standard proposed by the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Laboratory grain size analyses provided by Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited also follow the same system. Different classification systems may be used by others; one such system is the Unified Soil Classification. Please note that, with the exception of those samples where a grain size analysis has been made, all samples are classified visually. Visual classification is not sufficiently accurate to provide exact grain sizing or precise differentiation between size classification systems.

				15	SSMFE SOIL	CLASSIFIC	ATION				
CLAY		SILT			SAND			GRAVEL		COBBLES	BOULDERS
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE		
0.002	0.0	06 0.02	0.06	0.2	0.6	2.0	6	.0 20	60	200	
			EQUIVALE	NT GRAI	N DIAMETE	R IN MILLI	METRES				
CLAY (PLAS	TIC) TO			FINE	ME	DIUM	CRS.	FINE	COARSE		

SAND

- UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION 2. Fill: Where fill is designated on the borehole log it is defined as indicated by the sample recovered during the boring process. The reader is cautioned that fills are heterogeneous in nature and variable in density or
- degree of compaction. The borehole description may therefore not be applicable as a general description of site fill materials. All fills should be expected to contain obstruction such as wood, large concrete pieces or subsurface basements, floors, tanks, etc., none of these may have been encountered in the boreholes. Since boreholes cannot accurately define the contents of the fill, test pits are recommended to provide supplementary information. Despite the use of test pits, the heterogeneous nature of fill will leave some ambiguity as to the exact composition of the fill. Most fills contain pockets, seams, or layers of organically contaminated soil. This organic material can result in the generation of methane gas and/or significant ongoing and future settlements. Fill at this site may have been monitored for the presence of methane gas and, if so, the results are given on the borehole logs. The monitoring process does not indicate the volume of gas that can be potentially generated nor does it pinpoint the source of the gas. These readings are to advise of the presence of gas only, and a detailed study is recommended for sites where any explosive gas/methane is detected. Some fill material may be contaminated by toxic/hazardous waste that renders it unacceptable for deposition in any but designated land fill sites; unless specifically stated the fill on this site has not been tested for contaminants that may be considered toxic or hazardous. This testing and a potential hazard study can be undertaken if requested. In most residential/commercial areas undergoing reconstruction, buried oil tanks are common and are generally not detected in a conventional geotechnical site investigation.
- 3. Till: The term till on the borehole logs indicates that the material originates from a geological process associated with glaciation. Because of this geological process the till must be considered heterogeneous in composition and as such may contain pockets and/or seams of material such as sand, gravel, silt or clay. Till often contains cobbles (60 to 200 mm) or boulders (over 200 mm). Contractors may therefore encounter cobbles and boulders during excavation, even if they are not indicated by the borings. It should be appreciated that normal sampling equipment cannot differentiate the size or type of any obstruction. Because of the horizontal and vertical variability of till, the sample description may be applicable to a very limited zone; caution is therefore essential when dealing with sensitive excavations or dewatering programs in till materials.

PROJI	ECT: Geotechnical and Hydrogeologica	l Inve	estiga	ations	and Ex	cess S	oil	DRILI	LING [	DATA										
CLIEN	T: Eden Oak							Metho	od: Sol	id Ster	m Aug	ler								
PROJI	ECT LOCATION: 159 Confederation St	reet,	Tow	n of Ha	alton H	ills		Diameter: 150 mm REF. NO.: SP23-0126						01265-00						
DATU	M: Geodetic							Date: Dec-01-2023				ENCL NO.: 2								
BH LC	CATION: N 4836219 E 586124																			
	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		ES	~		RESIS	MIC CO TANCE	PLOT		TION					5	5	REMARKS	
(m) ELEV	DESCRIPTION	A PLOT	ER		LOWS 0.3 m	ND WATEF	NOIL	2 SHEA	0 4 AR STI	0 6 RENG	0 8 TH (kl	BO 1	00 I		CONT CONT	TURE TENT V	LIMIT WL	OCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	URAL UNIT V (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTIO
254.2		STRAT	NUMBI	түре	z.	GROU	ELEVA	• QI 2	JICK TF	RIAXIAL 0 6	- × i0 &	LAB VA	NE 00	WA 1	TER CO	NTEN 0 3	T (%) 30	đ	NAT	(%) GR SA SI (
25 <b>0.0</b> 0.2 253.5	- TOPSOIL: 150 mm thick FILL: silty sand, trace cobbles, trace gravel, trace organics, brown,		1	ss	4		254	-								0		-		
0.8	SAND AND GRAVEL: some silt, trace cobbles, trace clay, brown, moist, compact	0	2	SS	25		253							0				-		39 39 18
		0 0	3	SS	23									0						
	dense	0	4	SS	34		252	-						0				-		
		.o .0	5	SS	30		251	- - - - -						0				-		
		. 0 .0 .0					250													
249.6 4.6	SANDY SILT: trace to some clay, trace gravel, brown, moist, very dense		6	SS	50/ 150mn			- - - - - -						0						
47.0	grey, very moist			SS	50/		249 W. L.	- - - - 248.1 ı	n									-		
6.4	END OF BOREHOLE:			00	1 <u>50m</u>	6550	Dec 1	2, 2023 I	3 <b></b>											
	1. Borehole was open and dry upon completion of drilling. 2. Nested monitoring well was installed (Deep well). 3. Monitoring well observations for long-term stabilized groundwater levels: Date Depth (mbgs) Dec 12, 2023 6.07m																			

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS}} \\ \text{Measurement} \quad \stackrel{1\text{st}}{\underline{\nabla}} \quad \stackrel{2\text{nd}}{\underline{\Psi}} \quad \stackrel{3\text{rd}}{\underline{\Psi}} \quad \stackrel{4\text{th}}{\underline{\Psi}} \end{array}$ 

O <sup>8=3%</sup> Strain at Failure

1 OF 1

SIRATI & PARTNERS

### LOG OF BOREHOLE BH/MW 01



LOG OF BOREHOLE BH/MW 02

1 OF 1

SOIL LOG /DRAFT SP23-01265-00.GPJ SPCL.GDT 23-12-13

SPCL

SIRATI & PARTNERS

  $\frac{\text{GRAPH}}{\text{NOTES}} + {}^3, \times {}^3:$  Numbers refer to Sensitivity

O <sup>8</sup>=3% Strain at Failure

OIIIA				I	LOG	OF E	BORE	HOL	E B	H/MV	V 03									1 OF 1
PRO. CLIEI PRO. DATU BH L	JECT: Geotechnical and Hydrogeologica NT: Eden Oak JECT LOCATION: 159 Confederation St JM: Geodetic DCATION: N 4836294 E 585981	l Inve	estiga Towr	ations : n of Ha	and Ex	cess S ills	oil	DRIL Methe Diam Date:	LING I od: So eter: 1 Dec-	DATA lid Ste 50 mn 04-202	m Aug n 23	ler				RE	EF. NC	0.: S D.: 4	P23-(	01265-00
DITE	SOIL PROFILE		s	SAMPL	ES	ËR		DYNA RESIS		DNE PEI E PLOT			00	PLASTI LIMIT		JRAL TURE	LIQUID	ż	T WT	REMARKS AND
(m) ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	гүре	'N" <u>BLOWS</u> 0.3 m	GROUND WAT	ELEVATION	SHE/ 0 U • Q	AR ST NCONF UICK TI 20 4	RENG	TH (ki + - ×	Pa) FIELD V & Sensit LAB V/	ANE ivity ANE 00	W <sub>P</sub> 	TER CC		w∟ I Γ (%) 30	POCKET PE (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNI (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
0.0 	<b>POSSIBLE FILL:</b> gravelly sand, trace silt, trace rootlets, trace wood fragments, brown, moist, loose	X	1	SS	7	•	253	-						0						
0.8	SAND AND GRAVEL: trace to some silt, trace cobbles, trace clay, brown, moist, dense	0	2	SS	40		252	- - - - -						0						
251.0		0. 0	3	SS	46		251	-						0						
2.3 	SILLY SAND TILL: trace cobbles, trace gravel, trace clay, brown, moist, dense SAND AND SILT TILL: trace		4	SS	31		W. L. Dec 1	250.9 2, 202 - -	m 3						0					8 59 30 3
- - - - - - - - -	gravel, trace clay, reddish brown, very moist, compact	0	5	SS	18		250	-							0					
- - - - - - - - - -	very dense	0 0	6	SS	50/ 1 <u>00m</u> r		243	-						0						
2 <u>6</u> 247.1			7	SS	50/			-							)					
0.2	<ol> <li>END OF BOREHOLE:</li> <li>1. Borehole was open upon completion of drilling.</li> <li>2. Groundwater was encountered at 3.0 mbgs upon completion of drilling.</li> <li>3. Nested monitoring well was installed (Deep well).</li> <li>4. Monitoring well observations for long-term stabilized groundwater levels: Date Depth (mbgs) Dec 12, 2023 2.44m</li> </ol>				1 <u>40m</u> r															

SIRATI & PARTNERS

O <sup>8=3%</sup> Strain at Failure

JINAI				I	LOG	OF	BORE	HOL	E B	H/MV	V 04									1 OF 1
PROJI	ECT: Geotechnical and Hydrogeologica	al Inve	estiga	ations	and Ex	cess	Soil	DRIL	LING	DATA										
CLIEN	T: Eden Oak							Meth	od: So	lid Ster	n Aug	er								
PROJI	ECT LOCATION: 159 Confederation S	treet,	Tow	n of Ha	alton H	ills		Diam	eter: 1	50 mm	I					RE	EF. NC	D.: S	P23-	01265-00
DATU	M: Geodetic							Date:	Dec-	01-202	3					E١	NCL N	O.: 5		
BH LO	CATION: N 4836331 E 586190																			
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES			DYNA				TION								DEMARKO
						Ë					$\geq$	- 10	0	PLASTI LIMIT	IC MOIS		LIQUID	z	T W T	AND
(m)		D D			ଷ୍ଟ	NS NS	z	4					0	WP	CON	IENI N	WL	KPa)	ÎN (î	GRAIN SIZE
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	A P	н		D.3 n		0 F		AR ST NCONF		тн (кн +	FIELD VA	ANE			0		(CU)	URAI (KN	DISTRIBUTION
		RA	MB	Щ			N N	• Q	UICK T	RIAXIAL	×	LAB VA	NE	WA	TER CO	ONTEN	T (%)	_	LAN LAN	(%)
249.1		5	ž	F	ŗ	50		2	20 4	10 6	08	0 10	00	1	0 2	20 3	30			GR SA SI C
2 <u>48.8</u> 0.2	POSSIBLE FILL: silty sand trace		1	SS	6			F								0				
0.2	cobbles, trace gravel, trace	$\bigotimes$	Ŀ		Ľ			Ē												
	organics, brown, very moist, loose	$\mathbb{X}$	}					-												
1.0	SANDY SILT: trace to some clay.	m	1 2	SS	23		248	-							0			-		
	trace gravel, brown, very moist,							E												
	compact		2		15			-												
			Ľ	33	10		<u></u>	F												
			L				247	Ē	1								1	1		
	dense		4	SS	38			E							0					
			Ŀ					F												
			1				246	Ē												
	oxidated		5	SS	36			Ē								o				Non-plastic 7 33 52 8
			-					È.												
								Ē												
							245	-										-		
44 5			1					E												
4.6	SAND: trace to some silt, trace	 			1			Ē												
	gravel, brown, moist, dense			55	37		244	-						0						
			·				244	-												
								-												
								Ē												
			L		50/		243	<u> </u>										-		
	very dense		;  <u>/</u> _		130mm			F												
					[ <u></u>			-												
								-												
			·			[:] [	. 242	E												
								F												
				00	05	∣⊟		-												
			Ď	55	65	日日	241	E							L				1	
			1			: <b>⊠</b> :	· '	F												
			1			日	W.L.	240.7	m 3											
			1			日日		_, 202 F	Ĭ											
39.9		. 	-	<u> </u>	501	日	. 240	F	+								-	1		
9.1	SANDY SILT: trace to some clay, trace gravel, brown very moist very		9	SS	50/ 150mn	▋目	·:	Ē							0					
	dense		$\vdash$			ŀ₿	·	Ē												
			1			目		F												
						日日	. 239	F							Ī	I		1	1	
						に目		E												
38.3	- <del>ceddish brown</del>	<u>        </u>	10	<u>_88</u> _	50/	hite:	-i-	<u>t</u>						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-	$\vdash$		
10.0	END OF BOREHOLE:		[		1 <u>00mr</u>	h														
	1. Borehole was open upon																			
	completion of drilling.		1																	
	2. Nested monitoring well was installed (Deen well)		1																	
	3. Monitoring well observations for		1																	
	long-term stabilized groundwater		1																	
	Date Depth (mbqs)		1														1			
	Dec 12, 2023 8.32m		1																	
			1																	
			1																	
			1																1	
			1	1	1	I I		1	1	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1



SIRATI & PARTNERS



# **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**





g = unit weight of soil = 21.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

g' = submerged unit weight of soil (i.e. below ground water level)= 11.2 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

Ka = 0.3

### IN COMPACT TO VERY DENSE NON-COHESIVE SOILS (SANDS AND SILTS)



g = unit weight of soil = 19.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

g' = submerged unit weight of soil (i.e. below ground water level)= 9.2 kN/m  $^3$ 

Ka = 0.36

# IN LOOSE OR DISTURBED NON-COHESIVE SOILS (SANDS AND SILTS)



g' = submerged unit weight of soil (i.e. below ground water le

# IN COHESIVE CLAYS OR CLAYEY SOILS



- g = unit weight of soil = 19.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup>
- g' = submerged unit weight of soil (i.e. below ground water

Su = 10 KPa

# IN VERY SOFT TO FIRM COHESIVE CLAYS OR CL

	SIRATI Georgeological	& PARTNERS						
H (m)	North:							
Ļ	Notes:							
<u> </u>	1. Check system excavation con	n for partial dition.						
evel)= 11.7 kN/m <sup>3</sup>	2. If the free water level is above the base of the excavation, the hydrostatic pressure must be added to the above pressure distribution.							
Ā	3. If surcharge present near th these must be i lateral pressure	loadings are e excavation, ncluded in the e calculation.						
H (m)								
	Project Title :							
•	Geotechnical Investiga	ation Report						
	Site Location: 159 Confederation Str Hills, Ontario	eet, Halton						
	Figure Title:							
level)= 9.2 kN/m <sup>3</sup>	Earth Pressure Distribution on Braced Excava							
<i>w</i>	Scale:	Project Number:						
AYEY SOILS	As Shown	SP23-01265-00						
	Date:	Figure Number:						
	December 2023	'						



	SIRATI Georechinicale Hydrogleoningio	& PARTNERS
	North:	
	Project Title: Geotechnical Investiga	tion Report
B	Site Location: 159 Confederation Halton Hills, Ontari Figure Title:	Street, 0
	Scale: As Shown	Project Number: SP23-01265-00
	Date: December 2023	Figure Number: 8



### EXTERIOR FOOTING

### Notes

- 1. Drainage tile to consist of 100 mm (4") diameter weeping tile or equivalent perforated pipe leading to a positive sump or outlet, spaced between columns.
- 2. 20 mm (3/4") clear stone 150 mm (6") top and side of drain. If drain is not on footing, place100 mm (4 inches) of stone below drain .
- 3. Wrap the clear stone with an approved filter membrane (Terrafix 270R or equivalent).
- 4. Moisture barrier to be at least 200 mm (8") of compacted clear 20 mm (3/4") stone or equivalent free draining material. A vapour barrier may be required for specialty floors.
- 5. Slab on grade should not be structurally connected to the wall or footing.
- 6. Underfloor drain invert to be at least 300 mm (12") below underside of floor slab. Drainage tile placed in parallel rows 6 to 8 m (20 to 25') centers one way. Place drain on 100 mm (4") clear stone with 150 mm (6") of clear stone on top and sides. Enclose stone with filter fabric as noted in (3).
- 7. Do not connect the underfloor drains to perimeter drains.
- 8. Solid discharge pipe located at the middle of each bay between the solider piles, approximate spacing 2.5 m, outletting into a solid pipe leading to a sump.
- 9. Vertical drainage board with filter cloth should be kept a minium of 1.2 m below exterior finished grade.
- 10. The entire subgrade to be sealed with approved filter fabric (Terrafix 270R or equivalent) if non-cohesive (sandy) soils below ground water table encountered.
- 11. The basement walls should be water proofed using bentonite or equivalent water-proofing system.
- 12. Review the geotechnical report for specific details. Final detail must be approved before system is considered acceptable.

### DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS Shored Basement wall with Underfloor Drainage System (not to scale)



### EXTERIOR FOOTING

### Notes

- 1. Drainage tile to consist of 100 mm (4") diameter weeping tile or equivalent perforated pipe leading to a positive sump or outlet, spaced between columns.
- 2. 20 mm (3/4") clear stone 150 mm (6") top and side of drain. If drain is not on footing, place100 mm (4 inches) of stone below drain .
- 3. Wrap the clear stone with an approved filter membrane (Terrafix 270R or equivalent).
- 4. Moisture barrier to be at least 200 mm (8") of compacted clear 20 mm (3/4") stone or equivalent free draining material. A vapour barrier may be required for specialty floors.
- 5. Slab on grade should not be structurally connected to the wall or footing.
- 6. Underfloor drain invert to be at least 300 mm (12") below underside of floor slab. Drainage tile placed in parallel rows 6 to 8 m (20 to 25') centers one way. Place drain on 100 mm (4") clear stone with 150 mm (6") of clear stone on top and sides. Enclose stone with filter fabric as noted in (3).
- 7. Do not connect the underfloor drains to perimeter drains.
- 8. Solid discharge pipe located at the middle of each bay between the solider piles, approximate spacing 2.5 m, outletting into a solid pipe leading to a sump.
- 9. Vertical drainage board mira-drain 6000 or eqivalent with filter cloth should be continous from bottom to 1.2 m below exterior finished grade.
- 10. The entire subgrade to be sealed with approved filter fabric (Terrafix 270R or equivalent) if non-cohesive (sandy) soils below ground water table encountered.
- 11. The basement walls must be water proofed using bentonite or equivalent water-proofing system.
- 12. Review the geotechnical report for specific details. Final detail must be approved before system is considered acceptable.

### DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS Shored Basement wall with Underfloor Drainage System (not to scale)



Existing foundations located within Zone A normally require underpinning, especially for heavy structures. For some foundations in Zone A, it may be possible to eliminate underpinning and control foundation movement by tightly braced excavation walls, such as caisson walls.



Appendix A: Guidelines For Engineered Fill

### **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ENGINEERED FILL**

Compacted imported soil that meets specific engineering requirements and is free of organics and debris and that has been continually monitored on a full-time basis by a qualified geotechnical representative is classified as engineered fill. Engineered fill that meets these requirements and is bearing on suitable native subsoil can be used for the support of foundations.

Imported soil used as engineered fill can be removed from other portions of a site or can be brought in from other sites. In general, most of Ontario soils are too wet to achieve the 100% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) and will require drying and careful site management if they are to be considered for engineered fill. Imported non-cohesive granular soil is preferred for all engineered fill. For engineered fill, we recommend use of OPSS Granular 'B' sand and gravel fill material.

Adverse weather conditions such as rain make the placement of engineered fill to the required degree of density difficult or impossible; engineered fill cannot be placed during freezing conditions, i.e. normally not between December 15 and April 1 of each year.

The location of the foundations on the engineered fill pad is critical and certification by a qualified surveyor that the foundations are within the stipulated boundaries is mandatory. Since layout stakes are often damaged or removed during fill placement, offset stakes must be installed and maintained by the surveyors during the course of fill placement so that the contractor and engineering staff are continually aware of where the engineered fill limits lie. Excavations within the engineered fill pad must be backfilled with the same conditions and quality control as the original pad.

To perform satisfactorily, engineered fill requires the cooperation of the designers, engineers, contractors and all parties must be aware of the requirements. The minimum requirements are as follows; however, the geotechnical report must be reviewed for specific information and requirements.

- 1. Prior to site work involving engineered fill, a site meeting to discuss all aspects must be convened. The surveyor, contractor, design engineer and geotechnical engineer must attend the meeting. At this meeting, the limits of the engineered fill will be defined. The contractor must make known where all fill material will be obtained from and samples must be provided to the geotechnical engineer for review, and approval before filling begins.
- 2. Detailed drawings indicating the lower boundaries as well as the upper boundaries of the engineered fill must be available at the site meeting and be approved by the geotechnical engineer.
- 3. The building footprint and base of the pad, including basements, garages, etc. must be defined by offset stakes that remain in place until the footings and service connections are all constructed. Confirmation that the footings are within the pad, service lines are in place, and that the grade conforms to drawings, must be obtained by the owner in writing from the surveyor and Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited. Without this confirmation, no responsibility for the performance of the structure can be accepted by Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited (SIRATI). Survey drawing of the pre-and post-fill location and elevations will also be required.
- 4. The area must be stripped of all topsoil and fill materials. Subgrade must be proof-rolled. Soft spots must be dug out. The stripped native subgrade must be examined and approved by a SIRA -TI engineer prior to placement of fill.

### Project: SP23-01265-00

- 5. The approved engineered fill material must be compacted to 100% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density throughout. Engineered fill should not be placed during the winter months. Engineered fill compacted to 100% SPMDD will settle under its own weight approximately 0.5% of the fill height and the structural engineer must be aware of this settlement. In addition to the settlement of the fill, additional settlement due to consolidation of the underlying soils from the structural and fill loads will occur and should be evaluated prior to placing the fill.
- 6. Full-time geotechnical inspection by SIRATI during placement of engineered fill is required. Workcannot commence or continue without the presence of the SIRATI representative.
- 7. The fill must be placed such that the specified geometry is achieved. Refer to the attached sketches for minimum requirements. Take careful note that the projection of the compacted pad beyond the footing at footing level is a minimum of 2 m. The base of the compacted pad extends 2 m plus the depth of excavation beyond the edge of the footing.
- 8. A bearing capacity of 150 kPa at SLS (225 kPa at ULS) can be used provided that all conditions outlined above are adhered to. A minimum footing width of 500 mm (20 inches) is suggested and footings must be provided with nominal steel reinforcement.
- 9. All excavations must be done in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Regulations of Ontario.
- 10. After completion of the engineered fill pad a second contractor may be selected to install footings. The prepared footing bases must be evaluated by engineering staff from SIRATI prior tofooting concrete placements. All excavations must be backfilled under full time supervision bySIRATI to the same degree as the engineered fill pad. Surface water cannot be allowed to pond inexcavations or to be trapped in clear stone backfill. Clear stone backfill can only be used with theapproval of SIRATI.
- 11. After completion of compaction, the surface of the engineered fill pad must be protected from disturbance from traffic, rain and frost. During the course of fill placement, the engineered fill must be smooth-graded, proof-rolled and sloped/crowned at the end of each day, prior to weekends and any stoppage in work in order to promote rapid runoff of rainwater and to avoid any ponding surface water. Any stockpiles of fill intended for use as engineered fill must also be smooth-bladed to promote runoff and/or protected from excessive moisture take up.
- 12. If there is a delay in construction, the engineered fill pad must be inspected and accepted by the geotechnical engineer. The location of the structure must be reconfirmed that it remains within the pad.
- 13. The geometry of the engineered fill as illustrated in these General Requirements is general in nature. Each project will have its own unique requirements. For example, if perimeter sidewalks are to be constructed around the building, then the projection of the engineered fill beyond the foundation wall may need to be greater.
- 14. These guidelines are to be read in conjunction with Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited (SIRA TI) report attached.



Competent Natural Soil To Be Confirmed By SIRATI



# Appendix B: Limitation and Use of the Report

This report is intended solely for the Client named. The material in it reflects our best judgment in light of the information available to Sirati & Partners Consultants Limited (SIRATI) at the time of preparation. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by SIRATI, it shall not be used to express or imply warranty as to the fitness of the property for a particular purpose. No portion of this report may be used as a separate entity, it is written to be read in its entirety.

The conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on information determined at the borehole locations. The information contained herein in no way reflects on the environment aspects of the project, unless otherwise stated. Subsurface and groundwater conditions between and beyond the boreholes may differ from those encountered at the borehole locations, and conditions may become apparent during construction, which could not be detected or anticipated at the time of the site investigation. The benchmark and elevations used in this report are primarily to establish relative elevation differences between the borehole locations and should not be used for other purposes, such as grading, excavating, planning, development, etc. Professional judgement was exercised in gathering and analyzing data and formulation of recommendations using current industry guidelines and standards. Similar to all professional persons rendering advice, SIRATI cannot act as absolute insurer of the conclusion we have reached. No additional warranty or representation, expressed or implied, is included or intended in this report other than stated herein the report.

The design recommendations given in this report are applicable only to the project described in the text and then only if constructed substantially in accordance with the details stated in this report.

The comments made in this report on potential construction problems and possible methods are intended only for the guidance of the designer. The number of boreholes may not be sufficient to determine all the factors that may affect construction methods and costs. For example, the thickness of surficial topsoil or fill layers may vary markedly and unpredictably. The contractors bidding on this project or undertaking the construction should, therefore, make their own interpretation of the factual information presented and draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect their work. This work has been undertaken in accordance with normally accepted geotechnical engineering practices.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. SIRATI accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

We accept no responsibility for any decisions made or actions taken as a result of this report unless we are specifically advised of and participate in such action, in which case our responsibility will be as agreed to at that time. Any user of this report specifically denies any right to claims against the Consultant, Sub-Consultants, their officers, agents and employees in excess of the fee paid for professional services.

SIRATI engagement hereunder is subject to and condition upon, that SIRATI not being required by the Client, or any other third party to provide evidence or testimony in any legal proceedings pertaining to this finding of this report or providing litigations support services which may arise to be required in respect of the work produced herein by SIRATI. It is prohibited to publish, release or disclose to any third party the report produced by SIRATI pursuant to this engagement and such report is produced solely for the Client own internal purposes and which shall remain the confidential proprietary property of SIRATI for use by the Client, within the context of the work agreement. The Client will and does hereby remise and forever absolutely release SIRATI, its directors, officers, agents and shareholders of and from any and all claims, obligations, liabilities, expenses, costs, charges or other demands or requirements of any nature pertaining to the report produced by SIRATI hereunder. The Client will not commence any claims against any Person who may make a claim against SIRATI in respect of work produced under this engagement.